|  |
| --- |
| Assessment committee recommendation |
| **PhD student** |  |
| **Supervisor** |  |
| **Topic** |  |
| **Assessment committee member (chair)** |  |
| **Assessment committee member** Please include the following: 1. A presentation of the committee member’s academic relevance.
2. A presentation of whether the committee member meets the current impartiality requirement.
3. Email address and title
 |  |
| **Assessment committee member**Please include the following: 1. A presentation of the committee member’s academic relevance.
2. A presentation of whether the committee member meets the current impartiality requirement.
3. Email address and title
 |  |
| **Additional information** |  |
| Signature, head of programme, BTECH |  |

*Please note that “[t]here must be no conflict of interest between the members of the assessment committee and the PhD student and PhD dissertation, cf. the rules on conflict of interest in the Danish Public Administration Act. Thus, the members are not allowed to have:*

* *a personal or financial interest in the outcome of the PhD defence*
* *prepared, submitted or published research findings with the PhD student.*

*A personal interest in the outcome of the defence can refer to instances where the committee member has already assessed significant parts of the PhD student’s later stage work in a formalised way, as might be the case for third year presentations. More informal feedback at earlier stages and on smaller parts of the PhD dissertation, e.g. through research group seminars or informal individual meetings, will normally not be considered a conflict of interest.”*

Read more about [the appointment and role of assessment committees here (under Section 12)](https://bss.au.dk/en/research/phd/rules-and-regulations).